Dominican School of Philosophy & Theology Rubric for assessing academic research and writing skills

The following table specifies skills related to DSPT Institutional Goals and program outcomes, as outlined in the 2017 Ratio Studiorum Generalis (RSG), Sections I.14.1-10 of the Dominican Order. The RSG indicates that "students use critical thinking skills to grasp content and methodologies, and to make synthetic judgments and apply principles across disciplines to contemporary contexts, working towards constructive solutions that benefit communities. It also indicates that students develop an interior disposition (habitus) that fosters intellectual collaboration in a manner that test and deepens one's own grasp of the truth.

CATEGORY	Failure	Unacceptable	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
1 – Research Skills for Critical Thinking Familiarity with current debates/discussions related to a topic of interest, especially with those deemed experts on the topic [IGA1, MAO2-3]	Has a limited understanding of the principles and approach to an area of inquiry	Has a basic knowledge of principles of an area of inquiry, but is reticent to explore a variety of opinions from experts	Has a good knowledge of principles operative in an area, and identified, but not sufficiently, some key thinkers whose work is integral to a topic of research	Has a thorough working knowledge of scholars related to the topic of interest but lacks a good balance of pro and con opinions. Too readily focuses on those who agree with reader's perspective	Has a thorough working knowledge of scholars, and chosen a balanced set of experts, whose works will add quality to the proposed topic of inquiry
2 – Research Skills for Data Collection Familiarity with relevant professional publications (journals, series, books, etc.), as well as the use of relevant online databases [IGA3, MAO3-5]	Has failed (or refused) to take the time to read broadly on the history and ongoing development of a topic	Has some knowledge of the relevant literature, but tends to skew reading in one direction, to the exclusion of other important sources	Has a foundational and balanced knowledge of the topic, though is skewed or limited in some manner.	Can locate and engage with relevant source material using a variety of research tools, including online databases, in order to gather a breadth of sources that promote a balanced understanding	Engages not only with the most relevant sources on the topic, but also discovers new sources that enrich perspectives and understanding
3 – Research Skill for Synthetic Judgments and Interdisciplinarity Ability to locate, review and properly summarize primary sources, presenting the main argument and conclusion [IGA1, MAO 1-3]	Unable to grasp the fundamental argument (thesis) in a work, and/or is often lost in the details	Grasps the basic principles of an argument, but is not able to go beyond the most basic interpretation	Can properly identify and summarize the main points of an argument, but misses important secondary points and/or nuances	Has the capacity to identify both the main argument and secondary points, with an expressed willingness to learn outside of one's own personal "comfort zone"	Has a sophisticated grasp of the argument, and can relate the information to other arguments both within and outside of the academic discipline

Dominican School of Philosophy & Theology Rubric for assessing academic research and writing skills

CATEGORY	Failure	Unacceptable	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
4 – Research Skill for Collaboration Uses secondary references (English and other research languages) in a balanced manner that advances the conversation [IGA1-A3, B1, MAO4-5]	Unable or unwilling to read broadly and incorporate opinions outside of one's own paradigm	Reticent to engage with contradictory opinions, or with contemporary interpretations of primary historical sources	A basic capacity to identify and engage with scholarly interpretations of a primary source(s)	An ability to engage a broad spectrum of opinions and interpretations of a primary source(s)	Engages secondary sources, including foreign language resources as appropriate, in a manner which advances a discussion and offers constructive solutions that benefit communities
5 – Skill for Developing the Argument The ability to conceptualize and develop an argument [IGA3, IGB1, MAO 4-5]	Unable or unwilling to identify a topic that is both scholarly and doable	Able to formulate a topic but unwilling or unable to adjust the topic into a doable project	Creates a doable project that has a clear trajectory as identified by a clear outline	Has a clear thesis statement that is structured into a coherent doable project as demonstrated by a proper outline	Uses the results of ongoing research and writing (see skill 6) in an iterative manner to focus and refine the argument
6 – Skill for Structuring the Argument Uses primary and secondary resources to produce a fair and balanced argument [IGA3, B1, MAO3-5	Unable or unwilling to engage the area sufficiently to engender creative thinking; remains unreasonably entrenched in a perspective(s)	Able to synthesize information, but in a limited or biased manner such that foregone conclusions are reinforced	Achieves a coherent argument that incorporates the results of balanced research but lacks nuance and/or innovation	Offers a clear and well- argued conclusion that draws upon primary and secondary sources in an even-handed manner	Presents a clear and balanced written work that contributes to the conversation, even offering new and creative interpretations that benefit communities.
7 – Skill for Professional Presentation of the Argument Ability to produce a professional research document, following professional guidelines for academic publication [IGA2, B1, MAO4-5]	Has a poor grasp of proper English grammar, diction, style, and format	Has basic skills with written English but lacks knowledge and use of standard formatting requirements, e.g. proper footnoting or other documentation procedures	Has a good grasp of writing and formatting skills and is able to organize the research material in a professional but lacks clarity in the flow of the argument	Presents a finished product that flows well and is easy to follow the argument	Presents a finished product that integrates well into ongoing discussions or debates in the relevant field of study